arXiv:1607.03981v1 [math.CO] 14 Jul 2016

Every finite group has a normal bi-Cayley graph

Jin-Xin Zhou

Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, P.R. China

E-mail: jxzhou@bjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

A graph Γ with a group H of automorphisms acting semiregularly on the vertices with two orbits is called a *bi-Cayley graph* over H. When H is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$, we say that Γ is *normal* with respect to H. In this paper, we show that every finite group has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph. This improves Theorem 5 of [M. Arezoomand, B. Taeri, Normality of 2-Cayley digraphs, Discrete Math. 338 (2015) 41–47], and provides a positive answer to the Question of the above paper.

Keywords normal, bi-Cayley, Cartesian product
2000 Mathematics subject classification: 05C25, 20B25.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, groups are assumed to be finite, and graphs are assumed to be finite, connected, simple and undirected. For the group-theoretic and graph-theoretic terminology not defined here we refer the reader to [3, 11].

Let G be a permutation group on a set Ω and $\alpha \in \Omega$. Denote by G_α the stabilizer of α in G, that is, the subgroup of G fixing the point α. We say that G is *semiregular* on Ω if $G_\alpha = 1$ for every $\alpha \in \Omega$ and *regular* if G is transitive and semiregular. It is well-known that a graph Γ is a *Cayley graph* if it has an automorphism group acting regularly on its vertex set (see [2, Lemma 16.3]). If we, instead, require the graph Γ having a group of automorphisms acting semiregularly on its vertex set with two orbits, then we obtain a so-called *bi-Cayley graph*.

Cayley graph is usually defined in the following way. Given a finite group G and an inverse closed subset $S \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$, the *Cayley graph* $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ on G with respect to S is a graph with vertex set G and edge set $\{\{g, sg\} \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$. For any $g \in G$, $R(g)$ is the permutation of G defined by $R(g) : x \mapsto xg$ for $x \in G$. Set $R(G) := \{R(g) \mid g \in G\}$. It is well-known that $R(G)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S))$. In 1981, Godsil [7] proved that the normalizer of $R(G)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S))$ is $R(G) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$, where $\mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$ is the group of automorphisms of G fixing the set S set-wise. This result has been successfully used in characterizing various families of Cayley graphs $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ such that $R(G) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S))$ (see, for example, [7, 8]). A Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is said to be *normal*

if $R(G)$ is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G,S))$. This concept was introduced by Xu in [12], and for more results about normal Cayley graphs, we refer the reader to [5].

Similarly, every bi-Cayley graph admits the following concrete realization. Given a group H, let R, L and S be subsets of H such that $R^{-1}=R$, $L^{-1}=L$ and $R\cup L$ does not contain the identity element of H. The *bi-Cayley graph* over H relative to the triple (R,L,S), denoted by $\mathrm{BiCay}(H,\ R,\ L,\ S)$, is the graph having vertex set the union of the right part $H_0=\{h_0 \mid h\in H\}$ and the left part $H_1=\{h_1 \mid h\in H\}$, and edge set the union of the right edges $\{\{h_0,\ g_0\} \mid gh^{-1}\in R\}$, the left edges $\{\{h_1,\ g_1\} \mid gh^{-1}\in L\}$ and the spokes $\{\{h_0,\ g_1\} \mid gh^{-1}\in S\}$. Let $\Gamma=\mathrm{BiCay}(H,R,L,S)$. For $g\in H$, define a permutation $BR(g)$ on the vertices of Γ by the rule

$$h_i^{BR(g)}=(hg)_i, \forall i\in\mathbb{Z}_2, h\in H.$$

Then $BR(H)=\{BR(g) \mid g\in H\}$ is a semiregular subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ which is isomorphic to H and has H_0 and H_1 as its two orbits. When $BR(H)$ is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$, the bi-Cayley graph $\Gamma=\mathrm{BiCay}(H,R,L,S)$ will be called a *normal bi-Cayley graph* over H (see [1] or [14]).

Wang et al. in [10] determined the groups having a connected normal Cayley graph.

Proposition 1.1 *Every finite group G has a normal Cayley graph unless $G\cong C_4\times C_2$ or $G\cong \mathrm{Q}_8\times C_2^r (r\geq 0)$.*

Following up this result, Arezoomand and Taeri in [1] asked: Which finite groups have normal bi-Cayley graphs? They also gave a partial answer to this question by proving that every finite group $G\ncong \mathrm{Q}_8\times C_2^r (r\geq 0)$ has at least one normal bi-Cayley graph. At the end of [1], the authors asked the following question:

Question A [1, Question] Is there any normal bi-Cayley graph over $G\ncong \mathrm{Q}_8\times C_2^r$ for each $r\geq 0$?

We remark that for every finite group $G\ncong \mathrm{Q}_8\times C_2^r (r\geq 0)$, the normal bi-Cayley graph over G constructed in the proof of [1, Theorem 5] is not of regular valency, and so is not vertex-transitive. So it is natural to ask the following question.

Question B Is there any vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph over a finite group G?

In this paper, Questions A and B are answered in positive. The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2 *Every finite group has a vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph.*

To end this section we give some notation which will be used in this paper. For a positive integer n, denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n, by $\mathbb{Z}_n$ the ring of integers modulo n, by D_{2n} the dihedral group of order $2n$, and by $\mathrm{Alt}(n),\mathrm{Sym}(n)$ the alternating group and symmetric group of degree n, respectively. Denote by Q_8 the quaternion group. For two groups M and N, $N\rtimes M$ denotes a semidirect product of N by M. Let G be a finite group. Denote by 1_G the identity element of G.

For a finite, simple and undirected graph Γ, we use $V(\Gamma)$, $E(\Gamma)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ to denote its vertex set, edge set and full automorphism group, respectively, and for any $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$, $u \sim v$ means u and v are adjacent. A graph Γ is said to be *vertex-transitive* if its full automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on its vertex set For any subset B of $V(\Gamma)$, the subgraph of Γ induced by B will be denoted by $\Gamma[B]$.

2 Cartesian products

The *Cartesian product* $X \Box Y$ of graphs X and Y is a graph with vertex set $V(X) \times V(Y)$, and vertices (u, x) and (v, y) adjacent if and only if $u = v$ and $x \sim y$ in Y, or $x = y$ and $u \sim v$ in X.

A non-trivial graph X is *prime* if it is not isomorphic to a Cartesian product of two smaller graphs. The following proposition shows the uniqueness of the prime factor decomposition of connected graphs with respect to the Cartesian product.

Proposition 2.1 [9, Theorem 6.6] *Every connected finite graph can be decomposed as a Cartesian product of prime graphs, uniquely up to isomorphism and the order of the factors.*

Two non-trivial graphs are *relatively prime* (w.r.t. Cartesian product) if they have no non-trivial common factor. Now we consider the automorphisms of Cartesian product of graphs.

Proposition 2.2 [9, Theorem 6.10] *Suppose ϕ is an automorphism of a connected graph Γ with prime factor decomposition $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Box \Gamma_2 \Box \cdots \Box \Gamma_k$. Then there is a permutation π of $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and isomorphisms $\phi_i : \Gamma_{\pi(i)} \to \Gamma_i$ for which*

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) = (\phi_1(x_{\pi(1)}), \phi_2(x_{\pi(2)}), \ldots, \phi_k(x_{\pi(k)})).$$

Let Γ be a connected graph with prime factor decomposition $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Box \Gamma_2 \Box \cdots \Box \Gamma_k$. Take $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \in V(\Gamma)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, set

$$V_{x_i} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k) \mid y \in V(\Gamma_i)\}.$$

For any $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$, suppose $V_{x_i}^{\phi} \cap V_{x_i} \neq \emptyset$. Take $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k) \in V_{x_i}^{\phi} \cap V_{x_i}$. Then there exists $y' \in V(\Gamma_i)$ such that

$$\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y', x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k). \tag{1}$$

By Proposition 2.2, there is a permutation π of $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and isomorphisms $\phi_i : \Gamma_{\pi(i)} \to \Gamma_i$ for which

$$\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i = y', x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k) = (\phi_1(x_{\pi(1)}), \phi_2(x_{\pi(2)}), \ldots, \phi_k(x_{\pi(k)})). \tag{2}$$

Combining Equation 1 with Equation 2, we have the permutation π is the identity, and each ϕ_j is an automorphism of Γ_j, and if $j \neq i$, then $\phi_j(x_j) = x_j$. Consequently, we have $V_{x_i}^{\phi} = V_{x_i}$, and so V_{x_i} is a block of imprimitivity of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Corollary 2.3 *Use the same notation given in the above paragraph. Then the following hold.*

(1) V_{x_i} *is a block of imprimitivity of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

(2) ([9, Corollary 6.12]) *If* $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_k$ *are relatively prime, then* $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_2) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_k)$.

The following theorem provides a method of constructing normal bi-Cayley graphs.

Theorem 2.4 *Let X be a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over a group H, and let Y be a connected normal Cayley graph over a group K. If X and Y are relatively prime, then $X\Box Y$ is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group $H \times K$.*

Proof. Assume that X and Y are relatively prime. By Corollary 2.3, $\mathrm{Aut}(X\Box Y) = \mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$. Since X is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over H, one has $BR(H) \trianglelefteq \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, and since Y is a connected normal Cayley graph over a group K, one has $R(K) \trianglelefteq \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$. Then $BR(H) \times R(K)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X\Box Y) = \mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$. Note that $BR(H)$ acts semiregularly on $V(X)$ with two orbits, and $R(K)$ acts regularly on $V(Y)$. It follows that $BR(H) \times R(K)$ acts semiregularly on $V(X) \times V(Y)$ with two orbits, and thereby $X\Box Y$ is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group $H \times K$. □

3 Normal bi-Cayley graphs over $\mathrm{Q}_8 \times C_2^r (r \geq 0)$

In this section, we shall answer Question A in positive.

3.1 The n-dimensional hypercube

For $n \geq 1$, the *n-dimensional hypercube*, denoted by Q_n, is the graph whose vertices are all the n-tuples of 0's and 1's with two n-tuples being adjacent if and only if they differ in exactly one place.

Let $N = C_2^n$ be an elementary abelian 2-group of order 2^n with a minimum generating set $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n\}$. By the definition of Q_n, we have $\mathrm{Cay}(N, S) \cong Q_n$. For convenience of the statement, we assume that $Q_n = \mathrm{Cay}(N, S)$. If $n = 1$, then $Q_1 = \mathbf{K}_2$ and so $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_1) = N$. In what follows, assume that $n \geq 2$. It is easy to observe that for any distinct s_i, s_j there is a unique 4-cycle in Q_n passing through $1_N, s_i, s_j$, where 1_N is the identity element of N. So if a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)$ fixes S pointwise, then it also fixes every vertex at distance 2 from 1_N. By the connectedness and vertex-transitivity of Q_n, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)_{1_N}$ acts faithfully on S. It follows that $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)_{1_N} \lesssim \mathrm{Sym}(n)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that each permutation on S induce an automorphism of N, and so $\mathrm{Aut}(N, S) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(n)$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(N, S) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)_{1_N}$, one has $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)_{1_N} = \mathrm{Aut}(N, S) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(n)$. Consequently, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_n) = R(N) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(N, S) \cong N \rtimes \mathrm{Sym}(n)$.

Note that Q_n is bipartite. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)^*$ be the kernel of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)$ acting on the two partition sets of Q_n. Let $E = R(N) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)^*$. Then $E \trianglelefteq \mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)^*$ and $E \trianglelefteq R(N)$. It follows that $E \trianglelefteq \mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)^* R(N) = \mathrm{Aut}(Q_n)$. Clearly, E acts semiregularly on $V(Q_n)$ with two orbits. Thus, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Use the same notation as in the above three paragraphs. For any $n \geq 1$, Q_n is a normal Cayley graph over N, and Q_n is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over E.*

3.2 The Möbius-Kantor graph

The Möbius-Kantor graph $\mathrm{GP}(8,3)$ is a graph with vertex set $V = \{i, i' \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$ and edge set the union of the *outer edges* $\{\{i, i+1\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$, the *inner edges* $\{\{i', (i+3)'\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$, and the *spokes* $\{\{i, i'\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$ (see Fig. 1). Note that $\mathrm{GP}(8,3)$ is a bipartite graph with bipartition sets $B_1 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 0', 2', 4', 6'\}$ and $B_2 = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 1', 3', 5', 7'\}$.



Figure 1: The Möbius-Kantor graph $\mathrm{GP}(8,3)$

In [13], the edge-transitive automorphism groups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{GP}(8,3))$ were determined. We first introduce the following automorphisms of $\mathrm{GP}(8,3)$, represented as permutations on the vertex set V:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= (1\ 3\ 5\ 7)(0\ 2\ 4\ 6)(1'\ 3'\ 5'\ 7')(0'\ 2'\ 4'\ 6'),\\
\beta &= (0\ 1'\ 2)(0'\ 6'\ 3)(4\ 5'\ 6)(7\ 4'\ 2'),\\
\gamma &= (1\ 1')(2\ 6')(3\ 3')(4\ 0')(5\ 5')(6\ 2')(7\ 7')(0\ 4'),\\
\delta &= (1\ 1')(2\ 4')(3\ 7')(4\ 2')(5\ 5')(6\ 0')(7\ 3')(0\ 6').
\end{aligned}$$

By [13, Lemma 3.1], we have $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha^\beta \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle \cong \mathrm{Q}_8 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$, where Q_8 is the quaternion group, and moreover, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \trianglelefteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{GP}(8,3))$. Clearly, $\langle \alpha, \alpha^\beta \rangle \cong \mathrm{Q}_8$ is the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, so $\langle \alpha, \alpha^\beta \rangle$ is characteristic in $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, and then it is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{GP}(8,3))$ because $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \trianglelefteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{GP}(8,3))$. For convenience of the statement, we let $\mathrm{Q}_8 = \langle \alpha, \alpha^\beta \rangle$. It is easy to see that Q_8 acts semiregularly on V with two orbits B_1 and B_2. Thus we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 $\mathrm{GP}(8,3)$ *is a normal bi-Cayley graph over* Q_8.

3.3 An answer to Question A

Noting that $\mathrm{GP}(8,3)$ is of girth 6, $\mathrm{GP}(8,3)$ is prime. For each $r \geq 1$, it is easy to see that $Q_r = \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2}_{n \text{ times}}$. So, Q_n and $\mathrm{GP}(8,3)$ are relatively prime. Now combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 with Theorem 2.4, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 *For each $r \geq 1$, $\mathrm{GP}(8,3) \times Q_r$ is a vertex-transitive normal bi-Cayley graph over $\mathrm{Q}_8 \times N$, where $E \cong C_2^r$.*

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be completed by the following lemmas. Let G be a group. A Cayley graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ on G is said to be a *GRR* of G if $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) = R(G)$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let G be a group admitting a GRR Γ. Then $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group G.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{K}_2$ and Γ are relatively prime, then by Corollary 2.3 (2), we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2) = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2)$. Clearly, $R(G) \times \mathbf{1}$ acts semiregularly on $V(\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$ with two orbits, and $R(G) \times \mathbf{1} \trianglelefteq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2)$. It follows that $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over the group G.

Suppose that $\mathbf{K}_2$ is also a prime factor of Γ. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Box \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2}_{m \text{ times}}$ be such that Γ_1 is coprime to $\mathbf{K}_2$. From Corollary 2.3 (2) it follows that $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \cdots \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$. Since Γ is a GRR of G, one has $m = 1$, and so $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2 = \Gamma_1 \Box \mathbf{K}_2 \Box \mathbf{K}_2$. Then $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{K}_2)$, and Γ_1 is a GRR of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathbf{K}_2 \Box \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over C_2, and by Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma \Box \mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_1) \times C_2 \cong G$. □

A group G is called *generalized dicyclic group* if it is non-abelian and has an abelian subgroup L of index 2 and an element $b \in G \setminus L$ of order 4 such that $b^{-1}xb = x^{-1}$ for every $x \in L$.

The following theorem gives a list of groups having no GRR (see [6]).

Theorem 4.2 *Every finite group G admits a GRR unless G belongs to one of the following classes of groups:*

(I) *Class C: abelian groups of exponent greater than two;*

(II) *Class D: the generalized dicyclic groups;*

(III) *Class E: the following thirteen "exceptional groups":*

(1) $\mathbb{Z}_2^2, \mathbb{Z}_2^3, \mathbb{Z}_2^4$;

(2) D_6, D_8, D_{10};

(3) A_4;

(4) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, abc = bca = cab\rangle$;

(5) $\langle a, b \mid a^8 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^5\rangle$;

(6) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^2 = 1, ac = ca, (ab)^2 = (cb)^2 = 1\rangle$;

(7) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, c = a^{-1}b^{-1}cb\rangle$;

(8) $\mathrm{Q}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathrm{Q}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$.

Lemma 4.3 *Let G be a group in Class C of Theorem 4.2. Then G has a normal bi-Cayley graph.*

Proof. Since G is abelian, G has an automorphism α such that α maps every element of G to its inverse. Set $H = G \rtimes \langle\alpha\rangle$. If H has a GRR Γ, then Γ is also a normal bi-Cayley graph over G. Suppose that H has no GRR. Then by Theorem 4.2 we have H is one of the groups in Class E (2) and (6). By Lemma 4.5, G has a normal bi-Cayley graph □

Lemma 4.4 *Let G be a group in Class D of Theorem 4.2. Then G has a normal bi-Cayley graph.*

Proof. If $G \cong \mathrm{Q}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ for some $r \geq 0$, then by Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.2, G has a normal bi-Cayley graph. In what follows, we assume that $G \ncong \mathrm{Q}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ for any $r \geq 0$.

By the definition of generalized dicyclic group, G has an abelian subgroup L of index 2 and an element b of order 4 such that $G = L\langle b\rangle$ and $b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}$ for any $a \in L$. We first prove the following claim.

Claim Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ be a connected Cayley graph of G. If $\mathbf{K}_2$ is a factor of Γ, then there exists an involution $s \in S$ such that $G = \langle S - s\rangle \times \langle s\rangle$.

Assume that $\mathbf{K}_2$ is a factor of Γ. We may let $\Gamma \cong \Gamma' = \Gamma_1 \Box \mathbf{K}_2$. Assume that $V(\mathbf{K}_2) = \{0, 1\}$. For any $v \in V(\Gamma_1)$, by Corollary 2.4, $V_v = \{(v, 0), (v, 1)\}$ is a block of imprimitivity of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_1 \Box \mathbf{K}_2)$. Clearly, V_v is also an edge of Γ'. Let $F' = \{\{(u, 0), (u, 1)\} \mid u \in V(\Gamma_1)\}$. Then F' is a 1-factor of Γ', and $\Gamma - F'$ is just a union of two copies of Γ_1.

As $\Gamma \cong \Gamma'$, Γ has an edge which is a block of imprimitivity of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Since Γ is vertex-transitive, there exists $s \in S$ such that $\{1, s\}$ is a block of imprimitivity of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Since $R(G)$ acts regularly on $V(\Gamma) = G$ by right multiplication, we have $R(G)_{\{1,s\}} = \{1, s\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus s is an involution. If $s \notin L$, then since $|G : L| = 2$, one has $G = L \rtimes \langle s\rangle$. It follows that $s = xb$ or xb^{-1} for some $x \in L$. So $s^{-1}as = a^{-1}$ for each $a \in L$. This implies that every element of G outside L would be an involution, a contradiction. Thus, $s \in L$ and so s is in the center of G.

Recall that F' is a 1-factor of Γ'. Correspondingly, $F = \{\{g, sg\} \mid g \in G\}$ is a 1-factor of Γ, and $\Gamma - F$ is disconnected with two isomorphic components. This implies that $\langle S - s\rangle$ has index 2 in G. Thus, $G = \langle S - s\rangle \times \langle s\rangle$, completing the proof of Claim.

Now let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be such that $G_2 \cong C_2^r$ with $r \geq 0$ and G_1 can not be decomposed into a direct product of two proper subgroups one of which is an elementary abelian 2-group. Then $|G_1 : L \cap G_1| = 2$ and $G_2 \leq L$. Let $b = g_1g_2$ with $g_1 \in G_1$ and $g_2 \in G_2$. Note

that b has order 4 and g_2 has order at most 2. Clearly, g_1 commutes with g_2, so g_1 has order 4. Furthermore, for any $a \in G_1 \cap L$, we have

$$a^{-1} = b^{-1}ab = (g_1g_2)^{-1}a(g_1g_2) = g_1^{-1}ag_1.$$

It follows that G_1 is also a generalized dicyclic group.

By Proposition 1.1, G_1 has a connected normal Cayley graph, say Σ. By Claim, Σ is coprime to $\mathbf{K}_2$. Let $\Lambda = \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_2\Box\cdots\Box\mathbf{K}_2}_{r+1 \text{ times}}$. By Lemma 3.1, Λ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over C_2^r. By Theorem 2.4, $\Sigma\Box\Lambda$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over $G_1 \times C_2^r \cong G$. □

Lemma 4.5 *Let G be a group in Class E of Theorem 4.2. Then G has a normal bi-Cayley graph.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, each of the groups in Class E(1) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph.

Let $G = D_{2n} = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}\rangle$ with $n \geq 3$. Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, \{ab, b\})$. Then Γ is a cycle of length $2n$, and so Γ is coprime to $\mathbf{K}_2$. By Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma\Box\mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G. Thus, each of the groups in Class E(2) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph.

Let $G = \mathrm{Alt}(4)$ and let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, \{(1\ 2\ 3), (1\ 3\ 2), (1\ 2\ 4), (1\ 4\ 2)\})$. By Magma [4], we have $\Gamma\Box\mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over $\mathrm{Alt}(4)$.

Let $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, abc = bca = cab\rangle$ be the group in Class E(4). Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, \{a, b, c\})$. By Magma [4], Γ is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G and Γ has girth 6. Hence, Γ is coprime to $\mathbf{K}_2$. By Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma\Box\mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let $G = \langle a, b \mid a^8 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^5\rangle$ be the group in Class E(5). Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, \{a, a^{-1}, b, a^4, a^4b\})$. By [10, Lemma 6], Γ is a connected normal Cayley graph over G, and by Magma, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma\Box\mathbf{K}_2) = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus, $\Gamma\Box\mathbf{K}_2$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^2 = 1, ac = ca, (ab)^2 = (cb)^2 = 1\rangle$ be the group in Class E(6). Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, \{c, ca, cb\})$. By Magma [4], Γ is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G and Γ has girth 6. Hence, Γ is coprime to $\mathbf{K}_2$. By Lemma 2.4, $\Gamma\Box\mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Let $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^3 = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, c = a^{-1}b^{-1}cb\rangle$ be the group in Class E(7). Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\})$. By Magma [4], Γ is a connected trivalent normal Cayley graph over G. Since G has order 27, Γ is coprime to $\mathbf{K}_2$. By Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma\Box\mathbf{K}_2$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over G.

Finally, we consider the groups in Class E(8). By Lemma 3.2, $\mathrm{GP}(8, 3)$ is a normal bi-Cayley graph over Q_8. For $n \geq 3$, let $\mathbb{Z}_n = \langle a\rangle$ and let $\mathrm{C}_n = \mathrm{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{a, a^{-1}\})$. Clearly, C_n is a normal Cayley graph over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Since $\mathrm{GP}(8, 3)$ is of girth 6, $\mathrm{GP}(8, 3)$ is coprime to $\mathrm{C_n}$. By Theorem 2.4, $\mathrm{GP}(8, 3)\Box\mathrm{C}_n$ is a connected normal bi-Cayley graph over $\mathrm{Q}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. Thus each of the groups in Class E (8) has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let G be a finite group. If G has a GRR, then by Lemma 4.1, G has a connected normal bi-Cayley graph. If G does not has a GRR, then the theorem follows from Lemmas 4.3-4.5 and 3.2. □

Acknowledgements: This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271012) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2015JBM110).

References

[1] M. Arezoomand, B. Taeri, Normality of 2-Cayley digraphs, Discrete Math. 338 (2015) 41–47.

[2] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, New York: Elsevier North Holland, 1976.

[4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997) 235–265.

[5] Y.-Q. Feng, Z.P. Lu, M.Y. Xu, Automorphism groups of Cayley digraphs, in Application of Group Theory to Combinatorics, edited by J. Koolen, J.H. Kwak, M.Y. Xu, Taylor & Francis Group, London, 2008; pp. 13–25.

[6] C.D. Godsil, GRR's for non-solvable groups, in Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory (Proc. Conf. Szeged 1978) L. Lovász and V. T. Só s, eds), Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 25, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981, pp.221–239.

[7] C.D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, Combinatorica 1 (1981) 243–256.

[8] C.D. Godsil, The automorphism group of some cubic Cayley graphs, European J. Combin. 4 (1983) 25–32.

[9] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, Handbook of Product Graphs, Second Edition, CRC Press 2011.

[10] C. Wang, D. Wang, M.Y. Xu, Normal Cayley graphs of finite groups, Science in China, Series A, 41 (1998) 242–251.

[11] H. Wielandt, Finite Permutation Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1964.

[12] M.Y. Xu, Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs, Discrete Math. 182 (1998) 309–319.

[13] J.-X. Zhou, Y.-Q. Feng, Edge-transitive cyclic regular covers of the Möbius-Kantor graph, European J. Combin. 33 (2012)139–147.

[14] J.-X. Zhou, Y.-Q. Feng, The automorphisms of bi-Cayley graphs, J. Combin. Theory B 116 (2016) 504–532.